

**By Email/ DoT Website**

File No.: 4-10/2025-DGT/4 (Part-II)  
Government of India  
Ministry of Communications  
Department of Telecommunications  
Director General Telecom HQ  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, UIDAI HQ, New Delhi -110001

Date: 10-09-2026

To

All Licensees with Internet Service Authorization

**Subject: CS(COMM) 551/26: Maharishi Ayurveda Products Pvt. Ltd. vs. Dr. Puneet in the Hon'ble District Judge (Commercial Court-02), South-East, Saket Courts, New Delhi.**

Please find enclosed the Hon'ble District Judge (Commercial Court-02), South-East, Saket Courts, New Delhi order(s) dated 04.08.2026 and 02.09.2026 in the captioned case.

2. Please refer to page-1 of the court order dated 02.09.2026 regarding blocking access to website(s)/domain(s) [01 nos.], as per the enclosed list.

3. In view of the above, all Licensees with Internet Service Authorization are hereby instructed to take immediate necessary action in respect of blocking access to the said website(s)/domain(s), as per the enclosed list, in compliance with the said court order(s).

**Encl: A/A**

Digitally signed by  
Sudhir  
Date: 10-09-2026  
17:24:09

ADG (T)  
Email: [adgnt.hq-dgt-dot@gov.in](mailto:adgnt.hq-dgt-dot@gov.in)

**Copy to:**

i. Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY), New Delhi ([cyberlaw-legal@meity.gov.in](mailto:cyberlaw-legal@meity.gov.in)) - Requested to take action as per the said Court order/ enclosed Annexure pl.

ii. Mr. Dhiraj Kumar Singh, Sr. Panel Counsel (UOI) ([dhiraj1511@rediffmail.com](mailto:dhiraj1511@rediffmail.com)) - For kind information and further necessary action.

iii. The Special DGT, Delhi LSA – For kind information and further necessary action. Plaintiff's Counsel may kindly be informed with a request to take action as per the enclosed Annexure pl.

**CS(COMM) 551/26:**

website(s)/domain(s)

**MAHARISHI AYURVEDA.SHOP.**

**Fwd: Re: In -Case Title "Maharishi ayurveda const1.hq-v/s Puneet ,CS(Comm)551/2026 dgt-dot** <const1.hq-dgt-dot@govcontractor.nic.in >

**Kripa Shanker Pandey** <adt.hq-dgt-dot@gov.in >

Thu, 03 Sep 2026 11:31:31 AM +0530

To "SUNIL KUMAR"<const1.hq-dgt-dot@govcontractor.nic.in>

आदरणीय महोदय/महोदया Respected Sir/Ma'am,

सादर / Regards

**कृपा शंकर पांडेय/Kripa Shanker Pandey**

सहायक निदेशक (प्रौद्योगिकी) / AD(Technology)

महानिदेशक दूरसंचार मुख्यालय/ DGT HQ

दूरसंचार विभाग / Department of Telecommunications

नई दिल्ली/ New Delhi

===== Forwarded message =====

From: Vikas Bansal <[ada2.dl-dgt-dot@gov.in](mailto:ada2.dl-dgt-dot@gov.in)>

To: "ADG Technology DGT HQ"<[adgnt.hq-dgt-dot@gov.in](mailto:adgnt.hq-dgt-dot@gov.in)>, "Kripa Shanker Pandey"<[adt.hq-dgt-dot@gov.in](mailto:adt.hq-dgt-dot@gov.in)>

Cc: "Manish Shukla"<[dirit.hq-dgt-dot@gov.in](mailto:dirit.hq-dgt-dot@gov.in)>

Date: Thu, 03 Sep 2026 10:36:33 +0530

Subject: Fwd: Re: In -Case Title "Maharishi ayurveda v/s Puneet ,CS(Comm)551/2026

===== Forwarded message =====

Sir, in reference to email from Govt Counsel & attached Court Orders, please provide the blocking instructions.

सादर / Regards

विकास बंसल / Vikas Bansal

सहायक निदेशक (प्रशासन)/ Asstt. Director (Admin)  
कार्यालय विशेष महानिदेशक दूरसंचार दिल्ली एल.एस.ए  
O/o Special Director General Telecom Delhi LSA,  
5वीं मंजिल, एमडीएस भवन, जेएलएन मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110002  
5th Floor. MDS Bhawan JLN Marg New Delhi-110002  
दूरसंचार विभाग / Department of Telecommunications  
संचार मंत्रालय / Ministry of Communications  
भारत सरकार / Government of India

Mobile: 94160-10093

---

===== Forwarded message =====

From: dhiraj kumar singh <[dhiraj1511@rediffmail.com](mailto:dhiraj1511@rediffmail.com)>

To: <[ada2.dl-dgt-dot@gov.in](mailto:ada2.dl-dgt-dot@gov.in)>

Date: Thu, 03 Sep 2026 08:28:35 +0530

Subject: Re: In -Case Title "Maharishi ayurveda v/s Puneet ,CS(Comm)551/2026

===== Forwarded message =====

From: [dhiraj1511@rediffmail.com](mailto:dhiraj1511@rediffmail.com)

Sent: Thu, 03 Sep 2026 08:23:49

To: <[ada2.dl-dgt-dot@gov.in](mailto:ada2.dl-dgt-dot@gov.in)>

Subject: In -Case Title "Maharishi ayurveda v/s Puneet ,CS(Comm)551/2026

Sir,

In compliance with the court's orders dated 4/8/26 and 2/9/26 an compliance affidavit needs to be filed before the Court .Kindly take the necessary step in this regard at the earliest.

Regards,

Dhiraj Kumar Singh

Sr.Panel counsel (UOI)

---

## 1 Attachment(s)

60ce85eb852bdf0dbd59edb87...

91.5 KB

**3 CS (COMM) 551/26 MAHARISHI AYURVEDA  
PRODUCTS PVT. LTD. Vs. DR. PUNEET**

**02.09.2026**

**Present:** Ms. Aparna Jain and Ms. Vandana Kumari, Ld.  
Counsels for plaintiff.  
None for defendant no.1.  
Ms. Shivani Choudhary, Ld. counsel for defendant  
no.4.  
Sh. Dhiraj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for defendant  
no.6.  
None for defendant no.5, 7 and 8.

As per report of process server defendant no.2 and 3  
have been duly served on 20.08.2026 as the process has been  
affixed and videography was conducted and that has been filed in a  
Pendrive by Ld. counsel for plaintiff and photocopy of  
photography also filed alongwith report.

WS has been filed on behalf of defendant no.4  
alongwith certain documents. Copy supplied.

Defendant no.6 has filed his authority to appear and  
seeks time to file compliance report in terms of order dated  
04.08.2026. Let the same be filed.

Ld. counsel for defendant no.4 submits that in terms  
of order dated 04.08.2026, defendant no.4 has suspended to the  
access of domain namely **MAHARISHI AYURVEDA.SHOP**.

Now defendant no.6 is also directed to block the  
aforesaid domain and file compliance report.

Plaintiff is directed to file replication to the WS of  
defendant no.4 with copy to other side.

.2.

Since defendant no.2 and 3 have also been served, defendant no.2 and 3 are also directed to file Written Statement within stipulated period. Alongwith the written statement, defendant no.2 and 3 shall also file an affidavit of admission/denial of the documents of the plaintiff with copy to other side.

Liberty is given to the plaintiff to file replication within 30 days of receipt of the written statement (s). Alongwith replication, if any, filed by the plaintiff, an affidavit of admission/denial of documents of defendant no.2 and 3 be filed by the plaintiff with copy to other side.

List this matter for filing of WS by defendant no.2 and 3 and filing of replication by plaintiff to the WS of defendant no.4 on **28.09.2026**.

**Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.**

**(LALIT KUMAR)**  
**District Judge**  
(Commercial Court-02)  
South-East, Saket Courts, ND  
**02.09.2026**

4 CS (COMM) 551/26

MAHARISHI AYURVEDA PRODUCTS PVT. LTD. Vs. DR.  
PUNEET

**04.08.2026**

Present: Ms. Aparna Jain, Sh. Ishan Berry and Sh. Tarun  
Kumar, Ld. Counsels for the plaintiff.  
Ms. Shivani Choudhary, proxy counsel for the  
defendant no.4.  
Sh. Vikas Bansal, Asst. Director on behalf of Dept. of  
Telecom, Sanchar Bhawan / defendant no.6.

Proxy counsel for defendant no.4 has filed  
vakalatnama on behalf of main counsel alongwith affidavit in  
compliance of Order 39 (3) of CPC.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that defendant  
no.4 be directed to provide details and block and suspend the  
access to domain namely **MAHARISHIAYURVEDA.SHOP**.

In view of the submissions and the averments in the  
plaint, defendant no.4 being intermediary and providing domain  
names access, therefore, defendant no.4 is directed to  
block and suspend the access to domain namely  
**MAHARISHIAYURVEDA.SHOP, till further order.**

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff seeks directions for  
defendant no.6 to provide complete details of mobile phone  
numbers 9218104770 and 9218105961. Let defendant no.6 is  
directed to provide the details of above mobile numbers alongwith  
their KYC details.

Defendant no.1, 5, 7 and 8 have been served through  
email, therefore, they deemed to be served. **Let the defendant no.2**

-: 2 : -

and 3 be served afresh subject to steps by all modes with directions to process server to affix the process on the given addresses in case of refusal or non-availability of person the process and affixation proceeding be also videographed. At request process be given dasti.

Defendant nos.1, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 are hereby directed to file Written Statement within stipulated time period as per CPC alongwith statement of truth and affidavit of admission/ denial of documents by supplying an advance copy upon the plaintiff.

Thereafter, plaintiff may also file replication to the defendant no.1, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 alongwith statement of truth and affidavit of admission/ denial of document by supplying an advance copy upon them.

Put up on 02.09.2026. Till then interim order to continue.

lalit  
kumar

Digitally  
signed by  
lalit kumar  
Date:  
2026.08.04  
17:14:00  
+0530

**(LALIT KUMAR)**  
**District Judge**  
**(Commercial Court-02)**  
**South-East, Saket Courts, ND**  
**04.08.2026 AK**

## **Annexure**

Subject: Action requested to be taken by MEITY and Plaintiff for effective removal of content for viewing by public at large within India as per the said orders of Hon'ble Court.

It is observed that a number of orders of Hon'ble Court are issued for blocking of websites every month. There are around more than 2700 ISPs in India and these ISPs are connected among themselves in a mesh network. DOT is instructing each of the ISPs through emails/through its website for blocking of the websites as ordered by the Hon'ble Courts. Ensuring compliance of the orders by each of the ISPs is a time-consuming and complex task especially in view of multiplicity of orders of Hon'ble Courts, multiplicity of websites to be blocked and multiplicity of ISPs.

2. Allocation of Business Rules inter-alia states thus:-

*'Policy matters relating to information technology; Electronics; and Internet (all matters other than licensing of Internet Service Provider).'*

3. In view of above and in order to ensure effective removal by content for viewing by public at large, the plaintiff is requested to do a trace route of the web server hosting the said website. In case the web server happens to be in India, the plaintiff may inform the same to Meity who may direct the owner of such web server to stop transmission of content as per IT Act and as directed by the Hon'ble Court so that the content would be blocked from the source itself and the exercise of blocking by 2700 ISPs would not be required.

4. In case such server is located abroad i.e. outside India then access to such URL/website can be blocked through the international internet gateways which are much less in number. This would result in timely and effectively removal of undesirable content for viewing by public at large as is the requirement as per the orders of Hon'ble Court.